



The macroeconomics of sustainability P000060, F0042.2526

7.5 Hp

Pace of study = 50%

Education cycle =

Course leader = Robert Hart

Evaluation report

Evaluation period: 2026-04-22 - 2026-05-13


Answers 6

Number of students 8

Answer frequency 75 %

Mandatory standard questions

1. My overall impression of the course is:



Answers: 6

Medel: 4.3

Median: 4

1: 0

2: 0


3: 0

4: 4

5: 2

No opinion: 0

2. I found the course content to have clear links to the learning objectives of the course.



Answers: 6

Medel: 4.7

Median: 5

1: 0

2: 0


3: 0

4: 2

5: 4

No opinion: 0


3. My prior knowledge was sufficient for me to benefit from the course.



Answers: 6
 Medel: 3.3
 Median: 3

1: 0
 2: 1
 3: 3
 4: 1
 5: 1
 No opinion: 0


4. The social learning environment has been inclusive, respecting differences of opinion.



Answers: 6
 Medel: 5.0
 Median: 5

1: 0
 2: 0
 3: 0
 4: 0
 5: 6
 No opinion: 0


5. The level of the course was appropriate for a PhD course



Answers: 6
 Medel: 4.8
 Median: 5


1: 0
 2: 0
 3: 0
 4: 1
 5: 5
 No opinion: 0

6. The examination(s) provided opportunity to demonstrate what I had learnt during the course (see the learning objectives).




Answers: 6
 Medel: 4.7
 Median: 5

1: 0
 2: 0
 3: 0
 4: 2



5: 4
No opinion: 0


7. The course covered the sustainable development aspect (environmental, social and/or financial sustainability).



Answers: 6
Medel: 4.5
Median: 5

1: 0
2: 0
3: 1
4: 1
5: 4
No opinion: 0


8. I believe the course has included a gender and equality aspect, regarding content as well as teaching practices (e.g. perspective on the subject, reading list, allocation of speaking time and the use of master suppression techniques).



Answers: 6
Medel: 4.3
Median: 5

1: 0
2: 0
3: 2
4: 0
5: 4
No opinion: 0

9. On average, I have spent ... hours/week on the course (including timetabled hours).



Answers: 6
Medel: 23.3
Median: 16-25

≤5: 0
6-15: 1
16-25: 3
26-35: 1
36-45: 1
≥46: 0
No opinion: 0

10. Which aspects of the course did you appreciate the most?

11. Could you provide suggestions on how the course could be improved?

Coordinator/kordinator

Coordinators comments

Rob and Chandra:

We thank the students for making the course enjoyable, even if it was intense for everyone! We also thank them for taking the time to provide some feedback to both of us specifically. Overall, we (the instructors) feel the course went rather well, all activities went to plan and the course materials were all ready in time and were appreciated by the students.

Rob: Thanks for the specific comments. I will definitely improve the support for learning about dynamic optimization methods (Hamiltonians etc.) next time. Crucial for students who haven't worked with this before.

Chandra: The students one and all appreciated the choice of topics and the course notes. Some however felt that a bit more specific detail on the literature for each topic could help make it easier to identify the required readings. This is a perfectly reasonable suggestion, and the next edition, lecture notes will indeed be revised accordingly. There was also a suggestion to increase in-person teaching time. This is of course reasonable and, within the constraints imposed by scheduling and travel (some participants and Chandra travelled to Uppsala), we will attempt to accommodate this.

Kontakta support: support@slu.se - 018-67 6600