



## Advanced use of Excel PVG0024, F0019.2526

2 Hp

Pace of study = 40%

Education cycle =

Course leader = Cecilia Maria Elisabeth Kronqvist

### Evaluation report

---

Evaluation period: 2026-04-14 - 2026-05-05

Answers 3


Number of students 17

Answer frequency 17 %

### Mandatory standard questions

---

#### 1. My overall impression of the course is:



Answers: 3

Medel: 3.3

Median: 4

1: 0

2: 1


3: 0

4: 2

5: 0

No opinion: 0

#### 2. I found the course content to have clear links to the learning objectives of the course.



Answers: 3

Medel: 4.0

Median: 4

1: 0

2: 0


3: 1

4: 1

5: 1

No opinion: 0


**3. My prior knowledge was sufficient for me to benefit from the course.**



Answers: 3  
 Medel: 4.3  
 Median: 5

1: 0  
 2: 0  
 3: 1  
 4: 0  
 5: 2  
 No opinion: 0


**4. The social learning environment has been inclusive, respecting differences of opinion.**



Answers: 3  
 Medel: 4.3  
 Median: 4

1: 0  
 2: 0  
 3: 0  
 4: 2  
 5: 1  
 No opinion: 0


**5. The level of the course was appropriate for a PhD course**



Answers: 3  
 Medel: 4.0  
 Median: 4


1: 0  
 2: 0  
 3: 1  
 4: 1  
 5: 1  
 No opinion: 0

**6. The examination(s) provided opportunity to demonstrate what I had learnt during the course (see the learning objectives).**




Answers: 3  
 Medel: 3.3  
 Median: 4

1: 0  
 2: 1  
 3: 0  
 4: 2



5: 0  
No opinion: 0


**7. The course covered the sustainable development aspect (environmental, social and/or financial sustainability).**



Answers: 3  
Medel: 4.0  
Median: 4

1: 0  
2: 0  
3: 0  
4: 1  
5: 0  
No opinion: 2


**8. I believe the course has included a gender and equality aspect, regarding content as well as teaching practices (e.g. perspective on the subject, reading list, allocation of speaking time and the use of master suppression techniques).**



Answers: 3  
Medel: 5.0  
Median: 5

1: 0  
2: 0  
3: 0  
4: 0  
5: 2  
No opinion: 1

**9. On average, I have spent ... hours/week on the course (including timetabled hours).**



Answers: 3  
Medel: 22.0  
Median: 6-15

≤5: 0  
6-15: 2  
16-25: 0  
26-35: 0  
36-45: 0  
≥46: 1  
No opinion: 0

**10. Which aspects of the course did you appreciate the most?**

## 11. Could you provide suggestions on how the course could be improved?

Coordinator/kordinator

### Coordinators comments

---

This year the course was taken by 17 registered students, and 11 of the students has handed in at least one of the home exercises.

The students that responded to the evaluation (3) were overall satisfied with the course, and thought it was a good overview of functions and processes in Excel.

Suggestins for improvement was to ensure that the same person run the program and describes what to do. The current way (one person telling, the other one doing) is established to ensure that the pace is sufficiently slow for everyone to follow. Several students mentioned it orally during the course as a good way of slowing things down, but probably it is most beneficial for students who does not already know the methods that are shown. Also the home exams were brought up as time consuming, especially if the task covered methods that were not applicable to the area the student was working in. The home exams covers a wide range of techniques, but we will look through them to see if there are tasks that can be canged or removed.

---

Kontakta support: [support@slu.se](mailto:support@slu.se) - 018-67 6600